

Administratively closing a case is a case-management tool used by district courts to obtain an accurate count of active cases. *CitiFinancial Corp. v. Harrison*, 453 F.3d 245, 250 (5th Cir. 2006). That is, the court may close a case administratively for statistical purposes. *Corion Corp. v. Chen*, 964 F.2d 55, 56–57 (1st Cir. 1992); *see also Lehman v. Revolution Portfolio L.L.C.*, 166 F.3d 389 (1st Cir. 1999) (citations omitted) (“Th[is] method is used in various districts throughout the nation in order to shelve pending, but dormant, cases.”). The court in *Lehman* “endorse[d] the

judicious use of administrative closings by district courts in circumstances in which a case, though not dead, is likely to remain moribund for an appreciable period of time.” 166 F.3d at 392.

As the Government points out, the case-at-bar is likely to consist only of the collection and application of the restitution money for its duration. Consequently, the Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to close the case for statistical purposes. Nothing contained in this order, however, shall be considered as a dismissal or disposition of this action. Should further proceedings become necessary, either party may initiate that proceeding in the same manner as if this order had not been entered.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**s/ S. Thomas Anderson**  
S. THOMAS ANDERSON  
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Date: December 13, 2017.